App F IX-4

1925.

File No. 497/1925

Previous References. (Migrai)

Serial Nos. 9, 16

Later references
Mag 349-28-1-13
15-27-1-3

(51)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for January 1926

SUBJECT

First member's note requesting whether any notice of suit filed against Govt., has been received in connection with the succession to the Bhimankatte mutt.

Dis. 838 dated 12.1.26 from the Petitioner-Alipi Ramachar.

Govt. are unable to do anything in the matter. He may arrange defend the suit against the

JB

1746

REPLY

To be written on this margin.)

GEN. OFFICE SECRETARIAT
26 OCT 1925
MADRAS

D. No 507

Mug 47 - 1925.

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

NOTE

Dated. 23rd..October .... *1925.*

1) I ~~was~~ want to know whether any notice ~~no: filing a~~ suit has been received in connection with the succession to the Bhimanakatte Mutt.

23/10

Chief Secretary.)
with compliments.)

45 Rw
K
24 10
D
10.10

3) Mug 7. no 47-25 containing the notice stating that Lakshmi Narayanachar has file a Suit for a declaration decree in his son's favour in connection with the succession To Bhimanakatte matt, is submitted.

4) No notice of any Suit actually filed against G.O. has been received in this Branch

5) The Ch. Secty & Ld Secty may be consulted

6) Submitted AL 26/10/25.

Minute necessary

16.10-25

in order

26/10/21

Res Dep

(8) yes consult the
Attorney

h
27/10/21

L & L Sett

[illegible]

27/10/21

G-M Branch

Summons in the case

(8) The [illegible] professional [illegible] which were received here today - the [illegible] been fixed up
the Judge has forwarded Summons
in duplicate for being served
on the Chief Secretary representing
Govt in the matter - the date
of hearing is mentioned. Vide
The Linked file
Sahib.

(Submitted for further ac

chegg)

28/10

(9) While filing the
Court Affidavit, as all that
is required under the Rules
has been done there have
been no Orders to be passed
by

635
29/10/21

1st September

4/11/21

(10) I enquired in view of the fact has been
already given & Govt. copy the Plaint
has been received by us from the Court on Dasahra

28/11

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 47 of 19

Serial No.

I saw a copy the Plaint papers in Sam the local newspaper recently - and hence today I have asked for the above w[illegible]

25/10

30/10

(11) A Suit has been filed Summons and the plaint relating thereto were received and transferred to the Nagrai Branch for further action after returning the original Summons with the endorsement of Sri thereon -

(12) Submitted with reference to para 11 supra This will also be transferred to Nag: Br. for [illegible]

30/10

13 Subs with reference to para 12 & the note.

(A/c member) 30/10

65-5-
30 10.25

14 The Nag Branch should Submit the papers at once. for

30-/10

read 4.40 pm
AV
30/10/25

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Vedamurthi Balakrishna
minor by next friend
S. K. Lakshminarayana
Iyer praying for a
decree against the
Govt & other defendants
referred to therein in
the matter of succession
to the Bhimana
Kalle Mutt)

Letter No 5748 of 21.10.25
from the Dt. Judge Shimoga
(to the Chief Sy.)
forwarding in duplicate
summons in O.S. No
6 of 25 25 on the
file of his Court and
requesting that the
duplicate be served
on himself and the
original returned
to the Court, the date
of hearing being
14.12.25.

15th This is entered in
the Suits Register maintained
in the Branch as at 48.

Sd
28/10

Sd
28/10

The cadet file, the
1st member has asked whether
any notice of a suit being
filed, has been received
in the Secretts

(Chief Sy) Sd 28/10
Seems to be another
in which the Govt member
of Such filed proper steps should
be taken to have the suits
defended. A detailed memo issued
[illegible]

N B – Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

1710

3 R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Draft returning the Summons with the endorsement of Service is put up.

(2nd) The original of the Summons prepared for Signature of the Chief Secretary (the duplicate being the draft).

3rd) After issue of the letter the up-country action as ordered in para 4 supra will be taken.

Submitted,

Chief Sec.)

29/10

29/10

9) Some State further action

29/10

Issued 31/10 30/10 [illegible]

2nd) The duplicate Summons and the copy of the Plaint [illegible]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

DEC 9 OCT 1925
MUZRAI

720

III—8]

A. No. 523

Routine Notes. Mis 47 - 25 - 8

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

will be transferred to the Mag: Br. for necessary further action in the first instance on the return of the file from the ordered Mag: Br. further action as in para 4 will be taken.

JR 23 for Mag taking 30/10 the duplicate Summons and returning the file early.

JR 30/10 To the [illegible]

[illegible]

24

The Suit filed by Lakshmi narayanachar as the next friend of Balakrishna (minor) is to come up for hearing before the Dist Judge of Shimoga on the 14th Dec 1925.

A typed copy of the Plaint has been received and is flagged.

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—31

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record

The files connected with this case are being together with some files re succession & mortis

The entire Kerim. records connected with the case are very necessary & have be called for & studied.

Submitted for orders.

AV
29-10-28.

(with XRXII Yuzian case)

Vide paras 10 & 13 above in which the first member has called for the connected papers at once

Sub to Govt
BG 31/10/28

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 67 of 192

Serial No

The Vernacular papers may also be obtained in a week. As the Govt. Advocate was the Counsel for the Plaintiff in the Case before Govt. said though, The Case will have to be entrusted to some other Advocate, As it is not likely that the written Statement will be ready by the date of hearing, an adjournment may perhaps have to be obtained

31.10.25.

Rev Secy

(1st member)

31.10.25

702/31-10

The views of Govt were passed upon their view of the merits of the case, as it was presented to them. They have no interest in the case; and if the plaintiff can prove his claim to his succession as appears to Govt. (there remains to be seen by the Sanad of Bhandarkeri Rents has been appointed Govt.) the Govt may have no objection to Govt appeal to the decision of the Court

In the circumstances, I think both the Govt Solicitor Secretary may be directed to appear for Govt & Esq pleader in a written Statement explaining the position of Govt. stating that the Govt is ready and between the Plff & the other Govt. & that Govt do not want to contest it, as they have no interest in it.

I shall be glad to be favoured with the opinion of the L. & Secy on the matter before writing with compliments — R 2/11

2/11

Note—Contn. Sheet

App. F. III—2]

File No. of 192 .

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

File No. of 192(illegible).

Serial No

I think that the Govt are not interested in the result of the Suit.

Under the rules in force H. H. has power to recognize the Succession of a Gaun for the purpose of enabling him to enjoy all State grants in land or money. [Vide Rules of 1888].

Non-recognition of a Succession by H.H will involve the resumption of all State grants in land or money of the Customary Inaams & Bruttus granted by the Sovereign While the civil Courts have power to decide upon questions of Succession, the Sovereign has power either of recognition of a Succession, for the purpose of enjoying State grants & honours Conferred by the Sovereign. In fact the Condition of enjoying the Lands also depends on the recognition by H. H, the eligibility of Gaun who succeeds another, i.e. it becomes a Conditional grant & H. H, has power to resume the Lands if the Condition is broken.

The violation of this Condition relates only to Lands enjoyed attached to the Mutt & has no manner of Connection with the rites or ceremonies & the rights of Succession according to Hindu Law or usage regulating having the force of law.

Note—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sh

Serial No

Applying these principles, it is clear that the Courts have jurisdiction to decide all questions relating to the succession of Gurus & Mathas & that H. H. has power either to recognize the person declared by the Courts to be a Guru or refuse recognition for the purpose of enjoying State grants.

In this view of the case the Govt is not a necessary party to the suit for the Courts have no power to pass a decree compelling H. H. to recognize the person declared by the Court as Guru for enjoying State grants. There is no necessity to incur any expenditure in defending the suit.

The P.P. may perhaps be instructed to file a W.S. to the above effect

(Sd) T. R. Venkata Rao 2-11

3.11.25

50/311

Dropped letter to the D.C. may be put up requiring him if the facts of the case are known to the D.C. & nothing in Public Press to appear on the matter & for him to send a statement on the lines suggested by the A.G. & A.G. send above

2/11

Note—Contn Sheet

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.



NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

Dft. Submtd.
AV
5-11-1925
5.11.25

Fair draft as revised by
5.11.25.

Fair Dft. Submtd
AV
5.11.25.
5/11/25
5.11.25.

Rev. Secy)
1st member)
9.11.25.

20/911

9/11
10.11.25.

9. To
The Asst Comr
No 570 Q 12-11-25.

Fds the summons received with & with the opinion of the L & L Secy thereon

Dy Comr Shimoga Distt
No 571 Q 12-11-25

Fds copy of the above with a copy of the opinion of L & L Secy for information

Note—Contn Sheet

[App. F. III—12

File No. 47 of 1925.

Serial No

D. 595 — Rt. Sri Mukha dt 2.11.25 from the Superintendent of the Bhimunkotta Matt stating that he has been served a summons from the Dt. Judge's Court at Shimoga to be present in Court in regard to the succession of the Bhimunkotta Matt Sanad & Govt. & does not know what to do & request that he may be assisted by Govt. & advised how to proceed in this matter.

May the Sri Mukha with the enclosure be sent to the M.C. for necessary action & the Swamiji intimated of the fact?

BL
13/11/1925

The Member has already minuted that Govt have no interest in the Case. Govt cannot undertake to assist the Swami in any way. He must make his own arrangements. If asked, he can be informed accordingly.

13/11/25.

(Rev Secy)
1st Member)

317/14.11

18/11/25

14.11.25.

A Secretary Draft reply on proper way in pursuance of decision to be put up for approval

14/11/25

16.11.25. 16/11

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

AV 15/11

App. F. III—2]

W

File No. of 192 .

General
Secret

Serial
No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

venue
as

File No. 4 of 1925.

Serial No

Dft. Vig. Patrika Samaj.

AV
19-11-25.

LL 19.11.25.

D
19.11.25.

20.1 v 182 member)

The Inspector has been recently requested to forward an early report in this case.

D
21/11

23.11.25.

May the Sri Mukha dtd Sept. be sent to the Mag. Coimr. in Contl. of our previous Coimr. for disposal?

LL
23.11.25.

Agreed.

D
23.11.25.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G. R. S. III—3]

ENG REV 1 DEC 1925 MUZRAI

D. No 653

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc, not intended for permanent record.]

D 2117

From The District Judge Chingleput

Letter No 1384/25 of 23 11 1925

States that a temporary injunction has been issued to the 4th defendant in O.S. No 60/1925-26 restraining him from receiving any of the immovables mentioned in the schedule thereof & that the said immovables may not be handed over till disposal of the petition posted for hearing on the 16th Dec 1925

The original is prepared for signature of the Chief Secretary. After return of this, the file will be transferred to M. Muz. for further action if desired.

JBB 26/11

26/11

Chiefly

1) Yes The Board's Lr Supplies will arrange Mr. Mudaliar in the C.S a regular file and note when taken the case to & let me know when this is done

4)

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Ay. 1/12/25 rec 2/12/25.

G.R. S III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Issued 3840 30/11/28

5) Returned to C.O. after issue as required in para 4. After note in C.H.Q. will have to be sent to the Mag: Br

WR Lee

30/11 Entries of Chief Secretary's orders taken and file returned to G.M. Branch.

Grant Tur 30.11

To J. Magazine Br: for immediate necessary action.

WR Lee

30/11

N. B —Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Serial No

The civil suit filed by Agent Lakshmi Narayanachar in the Dist. Judge's court, Shimoga, is to come off for hearing on 14th D. 1925. The Dy. Comr., Shimoga has been asked through the Comr. to instruct the Public Pr Shimoga, to appear on behalf Govt and put in a written Statement to the effect that G is not a necessary party to the suit. A copy of L & L's Petition has also been sent to him.

In the meanwhile, the Dist Judge has issued a temporary injunction order restraining the minor Swamy of Bommanahalli matt from receiving the moveables mentioned in a list, and requesting Govt not to hand over possession of these properties until further orders of the Court. The properties attached by the court consist of Vigrahas, Salagrams, Jewellery, Vessels, Sarees of the matt deposited in the Taluka Treasury at Nelamangala and Rs. amounting to Rs 14,000 &c.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—

File No. of 192

General and Sec.etar

Serial No

NOTE—Contn. Shee

Serial No

There is already a Swamy whose succession has been recog by Govt. and the idols & Lords Saligrams, etc. of the matt - now under Govt management - might have been handed over to him for the conduct of Sa worship in fulfilment of the object of the Grants made by Govt. A revised scale of exp to meet the present requir ments of the matt, has been

Fg. I. called for in G.O. no. 614 - dy 21 - 11 - 1925. If these prop are allowed to be attached The Court, it will not only dislocate regular worship. The matt, but also frustra the very object with which the Grants are made by G. Devadaya inam lands and Cash Grants are not as a rule [illegible] attachable by Civ

4 Courts. (Vide Mis. J. no. 91 - 19 relative to the attachment in 19 The Mirasi matt Savings in the hands of Govt).

May the Legal Secretary opinion be obtained on this point?

Submitted for [illegible]
AV 3.12.25

There is a misconception There is no attachment by Court; but our injunction in Court orders has to be obeyed.

NOTE--Contn. Sheet

App r III—2,

WD 0569—GPB—10 000

File No of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

The injunction has been obtd from the Court by Lakshminarayanaiyar who is still the agent of the Arunachalam mall against whose interests he is working. In fact he gets money from the funds of the institution against which he is working. Until his agency is terminated, at once as recommended in the Notes in Mur file 639/25 - pages 16 & 17 he will ruin the Arunachalam properties with the State. The Hon Court may in Govt No 614-15/Mur 63-25-6 of H. H. 2 be requested to direct the Govt Secy to relieve Lakshminarayanaiyar of the responsibility of his management of the Mgt Estates and appoint Sambasiva Iyer selected in the Immigration recently appointed for charge of those properties.

Not pertinent to the subject of this file. orders have been separately issued in these cases I believe. No orders have been issued in this file. BL

The Secretary might be consulted as to the legal position regd. in the last para.

BL
3/12/25

The Court Order of injunction has to be obeyed. The Hon Court may be informed accordingly at once.

Rec-d 3.12.25

(Remarks) and the papers in the petition in the Court may be called for.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

The question arises as to whether Govt. must ~~consider~~ who are not necessary parties in the suit. And whether the petition on the date of hearing is to be rejected.

The D.C may be asked to send a report on this point. In the meantime the Govt may also be consulted.

1st Member)

4
3/12/25

71
13.12

A copy of the Comm. Rec's from the D Judge may be sent as one to the D.C stating that the C.C for his report & necessary action.

After sending it, the papers may be sent to the Govt Secy (in the Judl Dept) for favour of his opinion.

4/12
4/12 Today
4/12.

Dr Govt. Dr.
AV
5-12-25. 5/12

Done as revised
5.12.25

Dr Govt
To The Govt. Comm. &c, Letter &
No 699 Dt 5-12-1925.
Forwarded for information & necessary action, a copy of D.C's report, and I need

Note—Contn Sheet

Serial No

The file referred to the L & L Dept as decided by the Full Members in the Council Secretariat

Sd/-

5/12/25

L & L Dept

7/12/25

C. 60. 25

A notice of a petition for granting Temporary Injunction under O. 39 C.P.C has been issued. It is posted for hearing to 14th. 12 1925.

The real position now is that during the minority of the Swami whose succession is recognised by Govt, the Govt have made proper arrangement in regard to the education of the Swami, & to the regular worship in the Temple & to the management of the properties & that the Govt have undertaken to hold the properties as trustees.

The main principles that should govern the Courts in granting injunctions of this kind are, first, whether there is a substantial question which should be investigated in the Suit between the parties & secondly, whether matters should not be preserved in Statu quo until that question can be finally disposed of. (41 Cal 436).

In the present case there is no ground whatever to conclude that the properties which are under Govt management should be wasted & damaged.

Hence P. P. may be instructed to oppose the petition

Drop letter to Govt & letting as above

23/9/12

10/12

Note—Contd. Sheet

9.12

12/12

Serial No

Dft. submitted
AV
10.12.25

10/12/25

Dss 14
10/12

To The Muz. Comr., Letter no. 728 Dy 10-12-1925.

Forwards a copy of the Legislative Secretary's Note Dy 8-12-1925 on the subject ~~with~~ a request that the Public Prosecutor may be instructed to oppose the application for injunction on the date of hearing viz. 14th Dec. 1925.

From, The Dy Comr, Shimoga Dt. Memo no. S.P.F. 179/25-26 Dy 23/11/25.

Submits a copy of his Comr to The Muz. Comr enclosing a copy of the Shimoga Public Prosecutor's letter reporting that the Bhadravathi Arrack case is adjourned to 12th January 26.

The Public Prosecutor promises to submit for the approval of Govt., the draft of the British Statement to be filed in the Court.—

Submitted. The further report in the matter may be awaited.

AV 2-1-26

Dy 2/1/26

Note—Contn Sheet

App. F. III—2]

File No. of 192 .

General and Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No 9

From, Udipi Ramacharya, uncle of the minor Swamy of Sri Shimoga Kattemath.

Application Dt 1-1-1926.

Forwards Summons issued both to him and the minor Swamigalu by the Dist. Court, Shimoga, in the Suit filed by Lakshminarayanachar, and Solicits instructions as to how he should act in the matter.

The application is addressed to The members members under them "To be submts. at once with rep and a note." Rev. secretary's order "To say please."

Under cover of the above application, the following papers have been received:—

I. Summons to the minor Swamy enquiring if he has objections to the appointment of the petr. (Udipi Ramacharya) as his guardian, and

3 (ii) do — Udipi Ramacharya enquiring if he has any objections to the above.

4 (iii) do — Udipi Ramacharya guardian of the minor Swamy, asking him to appear and defend the Civil Suit filed by Lakshminarayanachar

X

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—4

File No. of 192 .

General and Reven Secretariat

Serial No.	

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

W (IV) Issuing a temporary injunction restraining the guardian of the minor swamy (viz. the petr.) from receiving certain movable properties viz idols of worship &c mentioned in the Schedule from the 1st defdt. (viz. Swt.).

(IV received—the by of the Schedule the Office file is flagged)

V (V) Summons to Vidwan Ramachar asking him to show cause why the temporary injunction under should not be perpetuated.

Ur On F.O. no. 109-11/Muz.59-25 dt 21-7-1925, the succession of Ved. Bodhacharya to the Head-ship of Sri Bhuvanapathi Matt was sanctioned under the sanction under G.O.Ms. the Maharaja.

I. On F.O. no. 614-15/Muz 632 dt 21-11-1925, the petr Vidwan Ramachar has been appointed as the guardian of the minor swamy and the Parupathegar of the Matt in place of Narayanacharya.

L An application from Vidwan Ramacharya praying for an endorsement appointing him as guardian to the minor Swamiyar is put up for orders.

Sd

Note—Contn. Sheet

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—40

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.	

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

The Bhimanapalle Mall Suit in the Dist Court at Shimoga, is adjourned to the 12th instant.

May the Ld Govt Secretary be consulted in the matter?

Submit.
AV
5-1-1926

The Public Prosecutor, Shimoga, has been asked to file a written statement on behalf of Govt (draft of which he promises to submit for the appl. of Govt). and also to file a list of objections to the temporary injunction timed by the court.

5.1.26

Of the 4 defendants in the Suit, the first one is Govt & the last one, the minor Swamy (by guardian, the present petitioner for instructions). As for Govt, the Public Prosr has been instructed to file the defence, on the lines indicated by the L.L. Secy. The point now is whether Govt should take up the defence of the Swamy also, whose succession has been recognised by Govt. The L.L. Secy may be consulted.

The ~~Case~~ Suit has been posted to the 12th instant for hearing.

Rev. Secy / Refer to minister for L.L. Secy's opinion 5/1.
87. of 2.11.25

I don't see (- Menon)
why Govt should undertake the defence
of the Swamy. Ans d to L Secy

5/1/1926

Note—Contn. Sheet

(7 R1)

letter dy 16.12.25

App. F. III—2]

WD 0

File No. of 192 .

General Secr

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

File No. 47 of 192 S.

Serial No

may however be consulted as to the action to be taken on the reply to be given to the Jammu Govt

Dr. 6/1 h 6/1

A. A. thing with Compliments.) 6/1.

I am unable to find any reason why the revenues of the State should be utilized for the purpose of defending the suit brought against the Jammu - This is purely a personal matter & affects the Successor to the Gadi.

Secretary to the Resident. }

8/1 7-1 Cmg 7/1 h 8/1

196/81

A suitable draft reply to the Jammu reply be put up & Secretary's approval

Dr 8/1 Secretary's h 9.1 9/1.

Draft Endt. to the C.P. Govt.

Endorsement, put up. may be approved. 9/1.

B.L. 9.1.26 Resident. 1st. Secretary)

9.1.26 h 9/1.

235/11-1

Endorsement is not sufficient explicit. Draft with the Secretary to be put up in due course.

Dr 10/1 h 9/11

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

App. F. III—2]

WL 3569—GPB-

File No.

of 192

General and Re
Secretariat

Serial
No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

A revised draft is put up under the personal instructions of the Member

RG
12.1.26

16. Sri Udipi Ramacharya.
endt. no. 838 dt 12.1.26.
Informs him that he must himself arrange to defend the suit against him and the Minor Swamy, and that Govt are unable to do anything in the matter.

App. F. III—2]

File No. of 192

General and R
Secretariat

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
11 NOV 1925
MUZRAI
D.No. 595

ಪ್ರತಿ

ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಥೋತ್ಸವ ರಂಗಪೂಜಾದಿ ವಿರುದಾಂಕಿತರಾದ
ಶ್ರೀ ಚಂಡಿಕಾ ಪೀಠ ಮಠದ ವಿದ್ಯಾಗುರ್ವಧಿಕಾರಿ ತೀರ್ಥ ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಂಗಳವರು —

ನಮ್ಮ ಪೇಜಾವರ ಮಠದ ಪರಮಪೂಜ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವಿಶ್ವೇಶತೀರ್ಥ ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಂಗಳವರ ಶಿಷ್ಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಕೆ. ಆರ್. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಎಂ. ಎ. ಇವರಿಗೆ ಮಾಡುವ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ನಾರಾಯಣ ಪೂಜಾ ಕೈಂಕರ್ಯವನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಂಗಳವರು ಕೃಪೆಮಾಡಿ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ಅನುಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದರು.

ಆದಾಗಿ, ಇದೇ ಕಾರ್ತೀಕ ಬಹುಳ ೨. ಮಂಗಳವಾರ, ನಾವು ಶ್ರೀಗೋಪಿನಾಥ ಶ್ರೀ ಬಾಲರಾಮರ ಪೂಜಾ ಮಹೋತ್ಸವಾದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ಸಂಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿದ್ದು — ಆಗಾಗ್ಗೆ ವಿಶೇಷಗಳ ಶ್ರೀಮಠೀಕರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಚಂಡಿಕಾ ಪೀಠ ಮಠದ ಶ್ರೀ ಪಾದಂಗಳವರು ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಪಾಲು ಪಟಂಚೆ, ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಾಚಾರ್ಯರನ್ನು ಮಠದ ಆಶ್ರಮದ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ, ವಿಶೇಷವಾಗಿ ಪಿಟ್ಟಿಪೈಕೆ ಹೊಸಮನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ, ಪೈ. ೬ ಭೂಮಿ-೭೬ ಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಲಾವಧಿ ಮಾಡಿ, ನಮ್ಮನ್ನು ಎರಡನೆಯ ಪ್ರಯೋಜಕತ್ವಾನಂತರ ನೇಮಿಸಿ, ಆ ಜಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಷ್ಟಿಕಾಮಯ ಪ್ರಸ್ತರಯುಕ್ತ ಸುಮಾರು 1926 ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿ, ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ತೀಕಮಾಸ ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಸೀದ ರಥೋತ್ಸವನ್ನು ಅಲಂಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಗಲು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಬಿಡಲೆ. ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದೇ ಮಾಘ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಸೆಂಬರ್ ೭ ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ವಿಚಾರಣೆಗೆ ಬರುವುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

ನಮ್ಮ ಪೇಜಾವರ ಮಠದಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ಎಷ್ಟು ವರ್ಷದ ಪೂರ್ವದಿಂದ ಪರಂಪರೆಯಾಗಿ ಬಂದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ನಾರಾಯಣ ಶಿಲೆಯ ಪೂಜೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದು, ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಆಶ್ರಮದ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾರ್ಯವಶದಿಂದ ಮಠಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಾಗ ಪೂಜಾ ಮಹೋತ್ಸವಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು, ಪಂಚಾಂಗ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ದಾಸಕೂಟಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಿ, ನಮ್ಮ ಅವಾಜಿ ಪಿಚ್ಚಯ್ಯನವರ ದ್ವಂದ್ವ ದಳ್ಳನ್ನು ಎಳುಪರಿಸಿ, ಸಟ್ಟಣ್ಣಿಂದ ಚತುರ್ವಾಳ್ಗಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಬಾಲಬ್ರಹ್ಮಚಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರದ್ಧಾಭಕ್ತಿಯಿಂದ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ ರಾಜಾ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು ಪೂಜೆಗೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಪಟ್ಟಿ ವರ್ಷ ಈ ರಥೋತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳೇನಾದರೂ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿದ್ದರೆ ಈಚೆಗಿನ ಸಟ್ಟಣ್ಣ ಮಠದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿಕಳುಹಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದರು. ಹೀಗೆ ಅವರು ಇರುವಾಗ್ಗೆ, ನಮಗೆ ಇದೇ ಆಶ್ರಮ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿವಿಲ್ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳೇ ಬಹಳ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಅವುಗಳಿಗೆ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ವಕೀಲರನ್ನು ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟವರ್ಗದವರು ಈ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ, ವಿಷಯ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಬಗ್ಗೆ ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ತಿಳಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ, ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಡೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ನಮ್ಮ ಶ್ರೀಮಠದ ಆಚಾರ್ಯರ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿಮಿತ್ತವಾಗಿ ಬಂದ ಶ್ರೀಮಠೀಯಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮಠೀಕರಣವರ ಪೂಜಾಮಹೋತ್ಸವಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದ ಸೇವಕರು ಶ್ರೀಮಠೀಯಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮಠೀಕರಣವರ ಪೂಜಾಮಹೋತ್ಸವಗಳನ್ನು ಪಾರಂಪರ್ಯವಾಗಿ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದು ಇಂದಿನ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇವಕರು ಅನಾವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮನ್ನು ಬೇರೆಯಾಗಿ ತೊಳ್ಳು ಇದ್ದು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರೇ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಬೇಕಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಪ್ರತಿಕ್ಷಣ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಗಳಿಂದ ಇದ್ದೇವೆ.

ಇತ್ತೇವಿಂತಿ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ನಾರಾಯಣ ಪೂಜಾಕೈಂಕರ್ಯರು

ಉಡುಪಿ, ಶ್ರೀ ಚಂಡಿಕಾ ಪೀಠ ಮಠ
ತಾ. 11. 1925.

ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸ ಶ್ರೀಮದ್ವಿಶ್ವೇಶತೀರ್ಥ

B—76 969—25-5-25.

ವಿವಾದದ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಮಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಂ
(ಆರ್ಡರ್ 5, ನಿಬಂಧನೆಗಳು 1, 2)

ನಮೂನೆ ನಂಬರ್ 2, ಸಿವಿಲ್

.....ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ

1925—1926 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ.....ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯದ ನಂಬರು.....6.....

..... Vs. { ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ .....

.....

.....ಗೆ.....ನು

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಮೇಲೆ .....ಕ್ಕೋಸ್ಕರ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯವನ್ನು ದಾಖಲು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾನೆ. ಆದಕಾರಣ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾಣಿಸಿದ ದಾವೆಗೆ ಉದಾಹರಣೆ ಕೊಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಖುದ್ದಾಗಿಯಾಗಲೀ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ಸಮಸ್ತ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಸವಾಲುಗಳಿಗೆ ಜವಾಬು ಕೊಡಲು ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಮುಹೀಯತು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಶಕ್ತಿಯುಳ್ಳವರಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಕ್ರಮವುಳ್ಳವರಾಗಿ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಹೊಂದಿರುವ ಅಥವಾ ಅಂಥಾ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳಿಗೆಲ್ಲಾ ಜವಾಬು ಕೊಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಶಕ್ತಿಯುಳ್ಳಂಥಾ ಮತ್ತೊಬ್ಬರನ್ನು ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉಳ್ಳ ಪ್ಲೀಡರ್ ಮೊಲಕವಾಗಿಯಾಗಲಿ 1925ನೆ ಇಸವಿ.....ತಿಂಗಳು 14ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ಹಗಲು 11 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ..... ಹಾಜರಾಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಇದರಿಂದ ಸಮೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ; ಮತ್ತು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಡಿಫೆನ್ಸಿಗೆ ಆಧಾರವಾಗಿರುವುದೆಂದು ನೀವು ಭಾವಿಸುವಂಥಾ ಯಾವ ದಸ್ತಾವೇಜನ್ನಾಗಲೀ ನೀವು ಹಾಜರುಪಡಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಪಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಮೇಲೆ ನಮೂದಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ದಿವಸ ಹಾಜರಾಗದೆ ಇದ್ದರೆ, ಸದರೀ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯವು ನಿಮ್ಮ ಗೈರ್ ಹಾಜರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಾಗಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವುದು ಎಂತ ತಿಳಿದಿರತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

1925ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ..... ತಿಂಗಳು ..... ತಾರೀಖಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಮೂಹರ್ ನಿಶಿ ನಮ್ಮ ದಸ್ತಖತ್ತಿನೊಡನೆ ಕೊಡಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಜಡ್ಜ್.

ನೋಟೀಸು —1. ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು ಆವರ ಖುದ್ದು ಹಾಜರಿಯಿಂದ ಹಾಜರಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂತ ನೀವು ಅನುಮಾನ ಪಟ್ಟರೆ, ಅವರ ಖರ್ಚಿಗೆ ಅವಶ್ಯವಾದ ಹಣವನ್ನು ರೇವಣಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಮನವಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಲ್ಲಿ, ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯನ್ನು ಹಾಜರು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂತ ಕೇಳುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ನಿಮಗೆ ಹಕ್ಕು ಇರುವ ಯಾವ ದಸ್ತಾವೇಜನ್ನಾಗಲೀ ಹಾಜರ್ಪಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ಯಾವ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಗಲೀ ಹಾಜರಾಗುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಖಲಾತ್ಕರಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಈ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಮನನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಬಹುದು.

2. ನೀವು ದಾವೆಯನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಂಡರೆ ನಿಮ್ಮ ಮೇಲೆ, ಯಾ, ನಿಮ್ಮ ಜಿಂದಗಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ, ಯಾ, ಅವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಈ ಎರಡರ ಮೇಲೆ ಆಗಬಹುದಾದ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರಿಯಿನ್ನು ತಪ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ನೀವು ಹಣವನ್ನು ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯದ ಖರ್ಚುಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂದಾಯ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

B650

SUBJECT

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No of letter replied to

No.

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

Date of issue

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

MU3, 47-25-8 17204

D.No. 523

1293/9

Gen 1720

191

From

G. Sathyaji Rao. Esquire,
B.A., B.L.,
District Judge,

Shimo

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dated 20th October 1925.

Despatched 24-10-25.

Received

General No.

No. 548

Enclosure No. one file

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith defendant Summons, in duplicate in Original Suit No.6 of 25-26 on the file of this Court, and to request, that you will

Subd.

This is a case in which the Summons is addressed to the Chief Secy. as representative to Government. Such papers are being dealt with in the G.M. Branch. This may therefore be referred to that Branch.

24-10-25 I think you have dealt with such cases in the Public Please verify & inform CJB 24.10.25

Subd.

It is informally ascertained that such cases are being dealt with in G.M. Branch. Certain notices received in the month of July 25 in favour of the Chief Secy have been transferred to G.M. Branch. (Vide D.No. 978 dt 7.7.25). This may therefore be transferred to G.M Branch.

25.10.25 I G/M Br CJB 27.10.25

Diary No of letter replied to 1720

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue 30/10/25

Signed by

Section

File No. Jus.

Serial No.

No. G 3111

To The District Judge

Shimoga

Sir,

I am directed to return herewith the original of the defendant Summons in O.S. No. 6 of 1925-26 received with your letter No. XX 548 dated the 21st October 1925 with the Service Return.

I have

By

Chief Secretary

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

29/10

over

570. & 12-11-25

Mes 47-25-9

To Encs one & one file

The Mazrai Commr. in Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith summons received by Govt. with a copy of the Plaint in O.S. No. 6 of 25-26 on the file of the District Judge's Court of Shimoga in the matter of the suit filed by Lakshminarayanachar, Agent of the Bhimanakatte Mutt on behalf of his minor son Balakrishn for a declaratory decree in favour of his son, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to ask the Dy. Commr. Shimoga to instruct the Public Prosecutor, Shimoga to appear on behalf of Govt. on the date of hearing and put in a written statement ~~with~~ to effect that Govt. is not a necessary part to the suit. A copy of the Local and Legislative Secretary's note on the subject is enclosed for ~~being made use of by~~ his information and use of the Public Prosecutor.

I have &c.,

7.11.25

Revenue Secretary.

7/11

5.11.25.

571. & 12-11-25

Copy to Dc Shimoga for info.

with Sched

1384/259 with Schedule

LII

Original Suit No. 6 of 1925-26.

In The Court of the District Judge, Shimoga Division.

Dated 21st November 1925.

Plaintiff . Vedamurthi Balakrishna minor by next friend B.K. Lakshminarayanachar.

- vs-

Defendants : 1. The Government of Mysore by its Chief Secretary
2. Sree Vidyarnava Sreepadangalavaru
3. (i) Nagappa (ii) M.Venkatadasachar (iii) H.Narnapp (iv) K.Kadappa (v) H. Subbanniah (vi) Hayagreeva char (vii) Gururayachar (viii) H.Venkatarama char (ix) Padmanabhia.
4. Udpi Bodhachar alias Sree Ragnu tilaka Swami minor by guardian Udpi Ramachar.

To.

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Whereas the plaintiff in the above suit has applied for the issue of a temporary injunction restraining the 4th defendant from receiving any of the movables mentioned in the schedule hereto annexed from you, I have the honor to state that a temporary injunction has been issued to the 4th defendant restraining him from receiving any of the movables mentioned in the schedule till the disposal of the petition which is fixed for hearing on 14th December 1925 and to request you not to hand over possession of the said movables till the disposal of the application or until further orders of this court

The duplicate may be retained and the original kindly returned to this court.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

District Judge,
Shimoga Division.

SPN/2.

Petition J 3840 30-11-25

Certificate is returned and the original is returned herewith

Chief Secretary to Govt
24/11

IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE, SHIMOGA

O.S. 6 of 1925-26

Plaintiff	Vs.	Defendants
V. Balakrishna		The Govt. of Mysore &c.

LIST OF THE PROPERTIES.

1 ಶ್ರೀದಾ ಮೋಂದರ ಚಿನ್ನದ

2 ಶ್ರೀ ಮುನೇಮದ್ದಲು ಕಾರ್ಯರ ರೆರಾಂಜೀತ ಪಾದ್ಮಾನಿದು ಬಿದ್ದೆ
ಅಮೂಲ್ಯವಾದುದು. ಇದೇ ದೇವರಮನೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಭಂಗಾ
ರರತಕ್ಕದಿನಳ್ಳು ಮುತ್ತಿನಳ್ಳು ವಸ್ತ್ರಗಳು ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳು ಕಾ
ರೀಖು 23-3-1923 ರಲ್ಲು ತಾಲ್ಲೂ ಅಮಲ್ದಾರವರ
ನಡಿಸಿದ ಇದೇಮಂತ್ರಿಯಲ್ಲು ತಕ್ಕಿಲುಕಕೆಂಡಿದೆ

3 ಸನದಾದಿನಳ್ಳಿಂದಾಂಪರಂಪರಂಯಿಂದ ರತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ತ್ರೀತಾ
ರಾಮುಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿಮಿ ಸ್ತ್ರೀಧನಳ್ಳು ಇದುಅಗಂದಿ ಪರಂಪರಂ
ಯಿಂದರುವ ವಸ್ತ್ರಧನಳ್ಳು ಈದೇವರಮನೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ಸರಂಡ
ಪ್ಪೆತಾಂಬಿರ ಮುತ್ತಿನ ಹಂರಭಂಗಾರದತಕ-ವಜ್ರವಿದ
ಧನಳ್ಳು ಇತರೆಲ್ಲಕೆಲವ್ಯರ ವ್ಯಾಮಿನಳ್ಳು ಕೆತ್ತಿನಸೀಲುಳ್ಳ
ವ್ಯ ಅಚೀಕ-ಪದಾರ್ಥನಳ್ಳು ಈತಪಸೀಲ್ಯನಳ್ಳು ಇದ್
ಶೀಂತ್ರಿಯಲ್ಲಿತಂಡಿದೆ —

4 ಇತರೆಲ್ಲವಸ್ತ್ರಧನಳ್ಳು ಈದೇವರಮನೆ ಇತಕ್ಕ ಮುತ್ತು
ರತ್ನಾಭರಣಳ್ಳು ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಭಂಗಾರಾದಿಯಾಗಿರುವ ಪಾತ್ರೆಗಳ್ಳು
ಇದ್ದೆಲ್ಲಾಂಪತ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲು ಕಂಡಿದೆ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಸ್ತ್ರಧವಿಲ
ರದ ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಭಂಗಾರದಿಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಪಾತ್ರೆಯಾದ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯಗ್ಳು
ನಳ್ಳಲಾಗ್ಗಿ ಇದ್ದೆಲ್ಲಾಂಪತ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಪಸೀಲಾಗಿ ಕಂ
ಡಿದೆ —

5 ದೇವತಾಕಾರ್ಯನಿಮಿತ್ತಾಲುಪ್ಪುವಾದ ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ
ತಂಬಿಸಿನಳ್ಳಾ ಧರ್ಮಾದಾಯಗಳ್ಳು ಕವಳಿಸಿನಳ್ಳು
ಸೇವಂತಿ ಮುಸಿಯಂತ್ರ ಬೆಳ್ಳಿಬಟ್ಟಲುಗಳ್ಳು ಮೊದಲಾ

చున్నేరి కట్టిపులు ఇచ్చేపాటి పంటపొట్టిలు ఉన్నాయి-ంటి

6 రాంత్రిప్పుంతే సంగా చేళ్ళమంచిపు కోంపట్టిలు ఇదర పదపిగా ప్రభుం మండల కడపేంటలు కొల్లాట్లుదేవతల ఈదేవతలకు ఇచ్చే పూజారుల నరుడ పిల్లకాంచుర కొత్తాడిన ళ్ళ-క్తంటిలసరులుగోతపిలు ఇచ్చేంపు టిలు ఉన్నాక్తంటిదే -

7 ఉన్నేరడు షేలక్రిసాంటాగ్రా మునళ్ళ నైసాంటాలు ఇచ్చేంపటిళ్ళ పల్లా — సంవంటు సాంటాలు పుంచేళ్ళ ంచుచ-ట్లుదే ఇదు ఇచ్చేంపటిం చూసెదే రపాంతవ్యవహారాంశిక్తవాద రాంమఱీగా పిల్లకా దుంట్ల పరడ్డొ బ్లేసేవముచో నరసిదడదేవత ఈదేవతసేసై రతెర్ల చేళ్ళ పూజారుల రకొత్తాడినళ్ళ లగ్గోగో ఇచ్చేంపటిలు ఉన్నాక్తంటిదే.

9 ఆంటు పిల్లలు దేవతల చేళ్ళనే-లపై పూజారుల సుంటున పరవగ్గో ఇచ్చేంపటిలుఉన్నాక్తంటిదే సపూర్ణంటిలాం ళ్ళసే ఇచ్చే దేవతరవిస్తుడా దుగ్గో స్నేడాంకరర్ల సేంబ్లుబేట్లసే ఇచ్చేంపటిలుగా ఇవ్వేవే విస్తుడపళ్ళు ఇచ్చేంపటిలు సాంటాల్లా

10 ఇచ్చేంపటిచేవేట్లిలు ఉన్నతవేశిలు కోలుల మంగారు అక్కిరాతే-ట్లిలు డాంటి-లువక్తంళ్ళ తాం తరిచర్ల ళ్ళ-క్తుంగా ళ్ళగ్గో బాత్రేగాళ్ళు —

11 ఇచ్చేంపటిం టిలు ఉన్నతాంటిలు చేళ్ళనేట్లి దుగ్గోలు. పేల్లపంతసిలతాంటిలులుట్లేగాంర పూజారుల చెవ్వ చేళ్ళ బేట్లసేపళ్ళ మురుల ళ్ళ కాట్లగ్గో ఈదేవతల్లుగా డాంటి-లువ్వ చేళ్ళ బేట్లసేపళ్ళ డాంటి ఇగోసేంపటిలు ఉన్నతాంటిదే స్వాంగతే తోలుల చుట్టి రాంట్లి రాంటిలు బేట్లసేపళ్ళ క్తుంగాంద

ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ೧೦ ಮರಣಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುವ ಇತರೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಂಶಗಳು
ಅವುಗಳ ಪ್ರಾಮುಖ್ಯತೆಯನ್ನು ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

ಉತ್ತರ: ಮರಣಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇವಲ ಮೃತನ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ವಿವರಗಳು ಮಾತ್ರವಲ್ಲದೆ, ಅವನ ಕುಟುಂಬ, ಸಮಾಜ ಮತ್ತು ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದ ಜೀವನ ವಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಅನೇಕ ಮಹತ್ವದ ಅಂಶಗಳು ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತವೆ. ಇವು ಇತಿಹಾಸಕಾರರಿಗೆ ಅಪಾರ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುತ್ತವೆ. ಅಂತಹ ಕೆಲವು ಪ್ರಮುಖ ಅಂಶಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅವುಗಳ ಪ್ರಾಮುಖ್ಯತೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತಿವೆ:

೧. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿ: ಪ್ರತಿಯೊಂದು ಮರಣಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಹೆಸರುಗಳು ಇರುತ್ತವೆ. ಇವರು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಮೃತನ ಕುಟುಂಬದ ಸದಸ್ಯರು, ಸ್ನೇಹಿತರು ಅಥವಾ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಪ್ರಮುಖರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇವರ ಹೆಸರುಗಳು ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಸಂಬಂಧಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಭಾವಿ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸುತ್ತವೆ.

೨. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಸಹಿ ಅಥವಾ ಗುರುತು: ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ಅಥವಾ ಹೆಬ್ಬೆಟ್ಟಿನ ಗುರುತು ಹಾಕಿರುವುದು ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದ ಶಿಕ್ಷಣ ಮಟ್ಟವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದು ಸಾಕ್ಷರತೆಯ ಪ್ರಮಾಣವನ್ನು ಅಳೆಯಲು ಸಹಾಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ.

೩. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಇಬ್ಬರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಇರಬೇಕೆಂಬ ನಿಯಮವಿದ್ದರೂ, ಕೆಲವೊಮ್ಮೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಇರುವುದು ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದು ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಮೌಲ್ಯ ಅಥವಾ ಕುಟುಂಬದ ಪ್ರತಿಷ್ಠೆಯನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಬಹುದು.

೪. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ವೃತ್ತಿ ಅಥವಾ ಸ್ಥಾನಮಾನ: ಕೆಲವು ಮರಣಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ವೃತ್ತಿ ಅಥವಾ ಸ್ಥಾನಮಾನವನ್ನು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಲಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದು ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದ ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ರಚನೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡುತ್ತದೆ.

೫. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಪಾತ್ರ: ಮರಣಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಬರೆಯುವಾಗ ಮತ್ತು ಅದನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸುವಾಗ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಪಾತ್ರ ಮಹತ್ವದ್ದಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವರು ಮೃತನ ಇಚ್ಛೆಯನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಥಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಮತ್ತು ಯಾವುದೇ ಒತ್ತಡವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಮರಣಪತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಸಹಿ ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಖಚಿತಪಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ.

೬. ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಹೊಣೆಗಾರಿಕೆ: ಮರಣಪತ್ರದ ಸಿಂಧುತ್ವವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನಿಸಿದಾಗ, ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯ ನೀಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳು ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯುತ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳಾಗಿರಬೇಕು.

ಒಟ್ಟಾರೆಯಾಗಿ, ಮರಣಪತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುವ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯು ಇತಿಹಾಸಕಾರರಿಗೆ ಆಗಿನ ಕಾಲದ ಸಮಾಜ, ಕುಟುಂಬ ಮತ್ತು ಆಸ್ತಿ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಳವಾದ ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ನಡೆಸಲು ಸಹಾಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ. ಇವು ಕೇವಲ ಕಾನೂನು ದಾಖಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲದೆ, ಸಾಮಾಜಿಕ ಇತಿಹಾಸದ ಮೂಲಗಳೂ ಆಗಿವೆ.

"Copy"

(sd) G. Sabhyaji Rao
Dist Judge

sd

5/12/25

APP F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 653

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue... 5/12/25

Signed by... M.V. Ramaiya.

In the matter of the writ ~~petition~~ in connection with the Bhinganatte Case,

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No. 67

Seria No. 1925

No. 699

Bng. 2 Mar

To The ~~Dy.~~ Com^r,

~~Shimoga, through the~~

in

~~Mag. Court~~ Mysore

Sir,

In Centy of the Office letter no. 570-47-25-9 dy 12-11-25 addressed to the Mag in Mysore, requesting ~~directing~~ to instruct the Pub Prosecutor, Shimoga, to fu in a written Statement to the effect that Go is not a necessary p to the said writ

over

La Khaminaga anachan
ni the Dist Judges' court. Shimoga,
for a declaratory decree
ci favor of his suit in respect of the suit
I am directed to forward
herewith ~~for your information~~
and necessary action, copy of
Letter no. ~~1284~~ 259 dt 21-11-1925
from the Dist Judge together with the
stating that a temporary
injunction has been issued
in respect of ~~the~~ certain immovable
~~movable~~ properties of the
~~suit plaintiff~~ party, and to request in
I have etc. the same matter
forwarded a
Khi Dy Com, Sha
Dist. for his
information
necessary action

Dy Reg Secy.

5/12/25

5.12.25.

F. VI Gl.]

Immediate

BJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No. 47-25

Seria No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. 726 Rec ue

To The Mis Court

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 10-12-25

In cont: of this office letter no 699/Mis-47-25 A 5-12-25 forwarding for information, a copy of Shimoga Dist Judge's letter dt 21-11-1925 in the matter of the temporary injunction of the movable properties of Sri Bhimana Katte mata in the Inthahalli Valley, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the

Signed by Vedavyasachar

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

over

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

Local and Legislative

Secretary's Note of 8-12-25

in the subject, and to

request that you kindly

see to so soon as to instruct

the Public Prosecutor

to oppose the application

on the grounds mentioned

in the above note on the

date of hearing viz

14th Dec. 1925.

(2) the result of the hearing

~~sent~~ may be communicated upon

for the information of Govt.

I have etc.

Dy Registrar

Sd

10/12/25

No 7[illegible] G, 10 12 25

Copy to Dy Comm. Shimoga

for info and ref

1

719

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

No..... H.C.179/25-26.

Shimoga, Dated the 192
23rd December

5.

From

A. K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esquire, B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your esc no.C.5[illegible] of 192[illegible]-26 date 19th December 1925 in the matter of the temporary injunction of the movable properties of Sri Bhimanakatte Mutt in the Tirthalli Taluk, I have the honour to state that the Public Prosecutor, Shimoga intimated that the suit on the file of the District Judge, Shimoga was adjourned to 19th January 1926 and that the objections will be filed in the Court on the lines indicated in the note prepared by the Secretary, Local & Legislative Departments, on the date of adjournment. A copy of the Public Prosecutor's letter is submitted herewith for information.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/ A.K.Syed Taj Peeran,

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

S.P.E.
no.H.C.179/25-26.

Copy of the above together with the copy of the letter from

P.T.O.

from the Public Prosecutor,Shimoga is submitted herewith to the Secretary to Government,Revenue Department, with reference to his Memo No.729 dated 10th December 1925.

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

Letter No.108 dated 14th December 1925 from the Public Prosecutor, Shimoga Division, to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District.

With reference to your H.C.179/25-26 dated 12-12-1925, I have the honour to state that O.S.6/25-26 (Vedamurthy Balakrishna Vs Government of Mysore &c.)-Bheemanakatte Mutt Suit- on the file of the District Judge, Shimoga, which was for hearing to-day stands adjourned to 12-1-1926 to enable the Plaintiff's lawyer to ascertain and report the death of 2nd defendant (The Swami of Bhandarakeri Mutt on which date, the written statement on behalf of Government will be filed. Before filing the same a draft of it will be forwarded to you and the Secretary, Local and Legislative Departments, for approval.

As regards the temporary injunction granted in this case, the plaintiff's lawyer is directed to furnish a copy of his application as required by rules of practice and I am required to state objecti to the grant of the same on the next date of hearing. On going th the application of the plaintiff, objections will be filed in Cour on the lines indicated in the note prepared by the Secretary, Loca Legislative Departments, a copy of which has been furnished to me

---

" C O P Y "

For Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

S.P.E.

Rule 5

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗವರ್ನ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್

ಮುಖ್ಯ ಕೆಮಿಸ್ಟ್‌ರವರ ಜವಾಬಿಗೆ

Ramacharya
of Hon'ble Swami of
Bhimanakatte Math
summons
to him as the
swamigal
Hon'ble Sub-Court-Shimoga
case filed by
Laxminarayana
aya praying
an injunction for
restraining him from taking
possession of certain
immovable properties and
consequential instructions
to him as to how he should act
in the matter.
Cases & Laws pointed
bearing on the
point.

ಶಿಕಾರಿಪುರ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಶ್ರೀ ಭೀಮನಕಟ್ಟೆ ಮಠದ ಶ್ರೀ ರಘುಪತಿಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀತೀರ್ಥ ಶ್ರೀಪಾದಂಗಳವರ ಪೂರ್ವಾಶ್ರಮದ ತಂದೆ ಅಡ್ವಿಕೆ ರಾಮಾಚಾರ್ಯರ ಅರ್ಜಿ :—

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಠದ ಆಸ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ, ಬಿ.ಕೆ. ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಾಚಾರ್ಯ ಮತ್ತು ಎಚ್ಚಣ್ಣಾಚಾರ್ಯರು, ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಸಬ್‌ಜಡ್ಜ್‌ರವರಲ್ಲಿ ಓ.ಸ. ೩೦೬ 1925—26 ನೇ ದಾವೆ ದಾಖಲು ಮಾಡಿರುವುದು ವಿದಿತವಿರಬಹುದು. ಸದರಿ ಕೇಸು, ಪಂ. 1926 ನೇ ಜನವರಿ ತಾ 12ನೆ ಅಡ್ಜರ್ನ್‌ಡ್ ಆಗಿರುವ ಸಂಗತಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ವಿದಿತವಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಸದರಿ ದಾವಾದಲ್ಲಿ, ವಾದಿಯ ಮೈನರ್ ಶ್ರೀ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರಿಗೂ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಕೆಲವು ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಸ್ಥಿರಾಸ್ತಿಗಳನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಕೆ ಸುಮುಖತ್ವಾಸ್ತಿಯವದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇದರೊಡನೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ, ನಾವುಗಳು ಯಾವುದು ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಗೊಂಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ, ಆಜ್ಞಾನುವರ್ತಿಗಳಾಗಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಪೂರ್ವಾಶ್ರಮದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜ ಪಾದಂಗಳವರ ಸಹೋದರರವರ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯಂತೆ ಪಡದು, ಶ್ರೀ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರು ಈ ಮಠದ ಪಿಠಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದ ಈ ಸಂಪತ್ತಿನ ಗೌರವವನ್ನೆಲ್ಲ ವಿರಿಸಿ, ಆವನ್ನೆ ಕಾಪಾಡಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಚಾರ ತಪ್ಪುಗಳಿಲ್ಲದಂತೆ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆಂಬ ಮುದಲ್ಗೆ ಪಿಠಕ್ಕೆ ವಿಷಯವಿಷಯ ಶಬ್ದಗಳಲ್ಲಿ - ಶ್ರೀ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರ ಪ್ರವಚನ ಶ್ರೀ ದೇವರ ಪೂಜಾ ಆಗುಂತರ ಆತಿಥ್ಯ, ಮೊದಲಾದ ಮಠದ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ನಾವು ಪದಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇನ್ನು ವಿಚಾರಣೆಗೆ, ನಮಗೆ ಈ ಕೇಸಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ವಿಷಯಗಳ, ಆಡ್ವೈಸ್ ದಯವಿಟ್ಟು ಬರೆದು ಬರುತ್ತೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

To be submitted at once with reports & notes
4/1/26

Mother Superior
5/1/26

N.V.
5/1/26

ಇಂತಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗಳವರ ಎಂಬೊಳಾಯಕ್ಕರು -
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ -
ತಾ 1 - 1 - 1926.

ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಾಚಾರ್ಯ

Section mur

File No. 47-25

Serial No. 16

No. Mlij 38 Ems 5.

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *192*

Date of issue .. 12/4/26

Signed by Mr. V

To

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

14/1 14/1

[illegible]

9/1 Reader

[illegible] 9-1-76.

Copy of [illegible]

7.1.76

[illegible] Reader